



## LOCKER ROOM TO LOCKUP ROOM

### Edited By:

#### 1) Puja Dawar

Editor-in-chief | Department of Management  
200090602009.Puja@gdgu.org  
+91-9044382618

#### 2) R. Amrith

(Student Editor)

[Amrith.judicateme@gmail.com](mailto:Amrith.judicateme@gmail.com)

### Published by:

Saumya Tripathi  
(Publisher)

[official@judicateme.com](mailto:official@judicateme.com)

+91 9044382618

**Address:** 14/251, vikas  
nagar, Lucknow.

---

---

## **LOCKER ROOM TO LOCKUP ROOM**

---

---

*By, Sakshi Singh  
From, Thakur Ramnarayan college of  
law, Mumbai.*

### **INTRODUCTION**

We can see in the world of rising e-commerce now comes e - rape. young minds cultivating culture of soft electronic rape by using words against girls. In this case, water is less polluted than the minds of the young people.

The conversations in the chat of BOIS LOCKER ROOM are terrifying not just for the derogatory and sexually aggressive content, but also contemplating RAPE, these boys also shared picture of WOMEN and also MINOR GIRLS and used abusive language for these women and girls making sexist jokes, objectifying and disrespecting women, reflects more than just the boy's perspective. TEENAGE boys from different schools (YES SCHOOLS) are involved in this CRIME.

### **REWIND STORY**

BOIS LOCKER ROOM was an Instagram group in Delhi in which obscene messages and allegedly morphed images of underage girls were shared. The participants of the chat room were TEENAGE BOYS from Delhi, most of them from class 11th and 12th, who allegedly lewd and objectionable content regarding MINOR GIRLS. The admin of the group was an 18- years-old student of school in Delhi-NCR who appeared for class 12 board exams this year, also arrested by the cyber cell of Delhi police, Delhi police recorded statements of SIX STUDENTS who were the part of controversial Instagram group. TWO of them were adults. A public interest litigation (PIL) has been filed in the Delhi High Court seeking a central bureau of investigation (CBI) probe into the case Delhi police's cybercrime unit on Sunday revealed that the alleged "SEXUAL ASSAULT" conversation on SNAPCHAT between TWO people was made by a MINOR GIRL, via FAKE profile to another MINOR BOY. According to the police, a fictitious name SIDDHARTH was used by the girl to create a FAKE profile on social networking app and the conversation was meant to test the 'VALUES and

CHARACTER of the boy. Social media users trended ARREST SWATIA MALIWAL in Monday. "Maliwal clarified that 'BOIS LOCKER ROOM' chats are not connected to this SNAPCHAT conversation". 'BOIS LOCKER ROOM' chats are not connected to this snap chat but EQUALLY OFFENSIVE AND WARRANT LEGAL ACTION.

Currently the status of case is pending for hearing in DELHI HIGH COURT. JUSTICE RAJIV SAHAI ENDLAW & JUSTICE SANGITA DHINGRA SEHGAL deferred the hearing on public interest litigation (PIL).

### **RELEVANT PROVISIONS**

#### **Information Technology Act, 2000**

- According to SECTION 66A of IT ACT 2000 transmission of any material for the purpose of causing annoyance, danger through electronic media which contains and kind of sexually explicit conduct or is offensive in any manner.

PUNISHMENT: - anyone found guilty of this offence may face an imprisonment of up to 3 YEARS or FINE both.

- According to SECTION 66E OF IT ACT 2000 Whoever intentionally or knowingly captures, publishes or transmits the image of a private area of any person

without his / her consent and violates the privacy of the person

PUNISHMENT: - Anyone found guilty of this offence shall be punished with imprisonment which may extend to 3 YEARS or with FINE both.

- According to SECTION 66A of IT ACT 2000 transmission of any material for the purpose of causing annoyance, danger through electronic media which contains and kind of sexually explicit conduct or is offensive in any manner.

PUNISHMENT: - anyone found guilty of this offence may face an imprisonment of up to 3 YEARS or FINE both.

- According to SECTION 66E OF IT ACT 2000 Whoever intentionally or knowingly captures, publishes or transmits the image of a private area of any person without his / her consent and violates the privacy of the person.

PUNISHMENT: - Anyone found guilty of this offence shall be punished with imprisonment which may extend to 3 YEARS or with FINE both.

#### **Indian Penal Code (IPC), 1860**

- According to SECTION 465 in IPC says that whoever, commits forgery.

PUNISHMENT: - Anyone found guilty of this offence shall be punished with imprisonment of either description for a term which may extend to 2 YEARS or with FINE, or with BOTH.

3

- According to SECTION 471 in IPC says that Whoever, fraudulently or dishonestly uses as genuine any 1[document or electronic record] which he knows or has reason to believe to be a forged 1[document or electronic record],  
PUNISHMENT: - Anyone found guilty of this offence shall be punished in the same manner as if he had FORGED such 1[document or electronic record].

- According to SECTION 469 in IPC says that whoever, commits forgery, 1[intending that the document or electronic record forged] shall harm the reputation of any party, or knowing that it is likely to be used for that purpose.

PUNISHMENT: - Anyone found guilty of this offence shall be shall be punished with imprisonment of either description for a term which may extend to 3 YEARS, and shall also be liable to FINE.

- According to SECTION 507 in IPC says that Whoever, commits the offence of criminal intimidation by an anonymous communication, or having taken precaution to conceal the name or abode of the person from whom the threat comes

PUNISHMENT: - Anyone found guilty of this offence shall be punished with imprisonment of either description for a term which may extend to 2 YEARS, in addition to the punishment provided for the offence by the last preceding section.

## ISSUE II

- According to SECTION 509 in IPC says that Whoever, intending to insult the modesty of any woman, utters any word, makes any sound or gesture, or exhibits any object, intending that such word or sound shall be heard, or that such gesture or object shall be seen, by such woman, or intrudes upon the privacy of such woman.

PUNISHMENT: - Anyone found guilty of this offence shall be punished with simple imprisonment for a term which may extend to 1 YEAR, or with FINE, or with BOTH.

## CONCLUSION

Though the case has not been sent for final hearing, it seems like feminists and media have lost their voice now. Our country India is known for the youngest population in the world and yet this is what the young generation is into about how to get publicity and how to defame a girl.

It is high-time for all the politicians be it ruling party or opposition, from Panchayat to President, all have to collectively work together. Can society bring the changes in the mindset of young girls and boys? Can the judiciary direct the legislature to bring in a law enough strict to control such chats? Police are there to maintain law and order and to deliver culture and ethics. If young minds are sowed with such obscene and sexual assault seeds the fruits will be

potential rapist and someone would become  
NIRBHAYA of 2020.



# JudicateMe